

**Torus Unacceptable Service User Policy** June 2023

SCO-POL-12-02

0.	DOCUMENT CONTROL							
0.1	SUMMARY							
<u> </u>								
	Unacceptable Service User Policy							
0.2	DOCUMENT IN	FORMAT	ION					
Role Na		Name/	ame/Position			Date		
Author		Jane Lauchlan				June 2023		
Approved by		Landlor	andlord Operations Committee			8 <sup>th</sup> June 2023		
Docum	ent Reference	SCO-PC	SCO-POL-12-01					
0.3	DOCUMENT STA	TUS HIST	ORY					
Version [		Date		Change owner	Reason for Update			
1		27/1	/20	Jan Calland, Regional Director	Amal	Amalgamation		
2		14/2	/23	Jane Lauchlan, Area Housing Manager	Review			
0.4	DOCUMENT REV	IEW DAT	E					
Review Due June			e 2025					
Responsible Officer Jane			e Lauchlan. Area Housing Manager					
0.5	DISTRIBUTION	1						
Name / Department			Title					
Housing Services			Unacceptable Service User Policy					
0.6	ASSOCIATED DO	CUMENT	S					
Ref:			Title:					
Ref:			Title:					

**Doc. Ref.**: SCO-POL-12-02 **Title**: Unacceptable Service User Policy Page **2** of **8** 

Contents				
1. Scope	4			
2. Policy Statement	4			
3. Implementation	4			
4. Consultation	7			
5. Responsibility	8			
6. Diversity & Inclusion	8			
7. Monitoring and Review	8			

**Doc. Ref.**: SCO-POL-12-02 **Title**: Unacceptable Service User Policy Page **3** of **8** 

# 1. Scope

This Policy sets out Torus's approach to the very few service users whose actions we consider unacceptable. The term service user includes anyone who contacts our offices in connection with service delivery, complaints and disputes.

This policy is intended to provide clear and accessible information to tenants and support and guidance for staff in these situations.

### 2. Policy Statement

This policy enables Torus to deal fairly, honestly, consistently and appropriately with all service users, including those whose actions are considered unacceptable.

Torus believes that anyone who expresses dissatisfaction or raises a complaint has the right to be heard, understood and respected.

Torus recognises people may act out of character in times of trouble or distress. There may have been upsetting or distressing circumstances leading up to a complaint. We do not view behaviour as unacceptable just because someone is forceful or determined. In fact, we accept that being persistent can be a positive advantage when pursuing a complaint.

However, it also recognises that in a minority of instances the actions of service users who are unacceptably angry, demanding, vexatious or excessively persistent may result in unreasonable demands on or unacceptable behaviour towards Torus, its staff and partner organisations. It is in these circumstances Torus will take appropriate action.

## 3. Implementation

3.1 The following criteria details the actions or behaviour that Torus considers unacceptable and aim to manage under this policy.

### 3.2 Aggressive or Abusive Behaviour

- 3.3 Violence is not restricted to acts of aggression that may result in physical harm. It also includes behaviour or language (whether oral or written) that may cause staff to feel afraid, threatened, or abused.
- 3.4 Examples of behaviours grouped under this heading include threats, physical violence, personal verbal abuse, derogatory remarks, and rudeness. We also consider that inflammatory statements and unsubstantiated allegations can be abusive behaviour.
  - a. We expect our staff to be treated courteously and with respect. Violence or abuse towards staff is unacceptable. Torus staff understand the difference between anger and aggression. The anger felt by many service users, for example, involves the subject matter of their complaint. However, it is not acceptable when anger escalates into aggression directed towards Torus staff or our partner agencies.

**Doc. Ref.**: SCO-POL-12-02 **Title**: Unacceptable Service User Policy Page **4** of **8** 

#### 3.6 Unreasonable Demands

- 3.7 Service users may make what we consider unreasonable demands on Torus through the amount of information they seek, the nature and scale of service they expect or the number of approaches they make. What amounts to unreasonable demands will always depend on the circumstances surrounding the behaviour and the seriousness of the issues raised by the complainant. Examples of actions grouped under this heading include: demanding responses within an unreasonable timescale, insisting on seeing or speaking to a particular member of staff, continual phone calls, emails, or letters, repeatedly changing the substance of the complaint or raising unrelated concerns.
- 3.8 We consider these demands as unacceptable and unreasonable if they start to critically impact on our work, such as taking up an excessive or disproportionate amount of staff time to the disadvantage of other customers or functions.

#### 3.9 Unreasonable Persistence

- 3.10 We recognise that some service users will not or cannot accept that Torus is unable to assist them further or provide a level of service other than that provided already. Service users may persist in disagreeing with the action or decision taken in relation to their case or contact Torus persistently about the same issue. Examples of actions grouped under this heading include persistent refusal to accept a decision made in relation to a complaint, persistent refusal to accept explanations relating to what this office can or cannot do and continuing to pursue a case without presenting any new information. The way in which these complainants approach Torus may be entirely reasonable, but it is their persistent behaviour in continuing to do so that is not.
- 3.11 We consider the actions of such service users to be unacceptable when they take up what the Housing Ombudsman regards as being a disproportionate amount of time and resources.

### **3.12 Vexatious Complaints**

3.13 Complaints may be made that are considered to be vexatious and without substance. Where a complaint is determined to be vexatious in nature the service user will be informed in writing that Torus is unable to take any action. Officers who deem a complaint to be vexatious will need to present a case to the Service Director before a complaint can be excluded on the grounds of it being vexatious.

### 3.14 Managing Unacceptable Actions by Service Users

3.15 There are very few service users whose actions we consider unacceptable. How we aim to manage these actions depends on their nature and extent. If it adversely affects our ability to do our work and provide a service to others, for example we may need to restrict a person's contact with us in order to effectively manage the unacceptable action. However, we will aim to do this in a way, wherever possible, that still allows a complaint to progress through our complaint processes. We may restrict contact in person, by telephone, fax, letter or electronically or by any combination of these. We will try to maintain at least one form of contact. In extreme situations, we will tell the service user in writing that their name is on a 'no personal contact' list. This means that they must restrict contact with Torus to either written communication or through a third party.

**Doc. Ref.**: SCO-POL-12-02 **Title**: Unacceptable Service User Policy Page **5** of **8** 

- 3.16 The threat or use of physical violence, verbal abuse, or harassment towards staff or partner agencies is likely to result in the ending of all direct contact with the perpetrator. Incidents may be reported to the Police or potentially tenancy enforcement action taken in accordance with their tenancy agreement.
- 3.17 We do not deal with correspondence or other forms of communication which is abusive to staff or contains allegations of an unsubstantiated nature. When this happens we will tell the sender that we consider their language offensive, unnecessary, and unhelpful. Whenever possible we will ask them to stop using such language and state that we will not respond to them if they do not stop. We may require future contact to be through a third party, and if they do not agree we will no longer deal with them. We may ask them to provide further information illustrating the validity of the complaint.
- 3.18 Torus staff will end telephone calls if the caller is considered aggressive, abusive, or offensive. The staff member taking the call has the right to make this decision, inform the caller that their behaviour is unacceptable, and end the call if the behaviour does not stop.
- 3.19 When someone repeatedly telephones, visits any of our offices without appointment, sends irrelevant or duplicate documents, or raises the same issues already considered, we may decide to:
  - only take telephone calls from the service user at set times on set days, or put an arrangement in place for only one member of staff to deal with calls or correspondence from the service user in the future;
  - require the service user to make an appointment to see a named member of staff before visiting the office, or that the service user only contacts the office in writing;
  - take other action that we consider appropriate. We will, however, always say what action we are taking and why unless in emergency circumstances.
- 3.20 When a service user continues to correspond on a wide range of issues, and this action is considered excessive, then we will tell them that only a certain number of issues will be considered in a given period and ask them to limit or focus their requests accordingly.
- 3.20 The service user's action may be considered unreasonably persistent if all internal review mechanisms have been exhausted and the complainant continues to dispute the decision made, be it the determination of a complaint or the manner in which the case was handled. We will tell the service user that no future communications concerning the case will be accepted. If the service user insists on contacting us about the same issue, their communication will be read and filed, but only acknowledged or responded to if it provides significant new information relating to the case.

### 3.21 Deciding to restrict complainant contact

- 3.22 Torus staff or our partner agencies, who directly experience aggressive or abusive behaviour from a service user whose complaint is still being investigated, have the authority to deal immediately with that behaviour in a manner they consider appropriate to the situation and in line with this guidance.
- 3.23 With the exception of such immediate decisions taken at the time of an incident, decisions to restrict contact with Torus are only taken after careful consideration of the situation between the Service Managers who will agree appropriate action with the Service Director. Wherever possible, Torus will give a service user the opportunity to modify their behaviour or action before

**Doc. Ref.**: SCO-POL-12-02 **Title**: Unacceptable Service User Policy Page **6** of **8** 

this decision is taken. Service users will be told in writing why a decision has been made to restrict future contact, the restricted contact arrangements and, if relevant, the length of time that these restrictions will be in place.

- 3.24 A service user can appeal a decision to restrict contact. A senior member of staff not involved in the original decision will consider the appeal. They will advise the service user in writing either that the restricted contact arrangements still apply or that a different course of action has been adopted. The Service Managers will record all incidents of unacceptable actions by complainants where it is decided to restrict complainant contact.
- 3.25 A decision to review service user contact may be reconsidered if the complainant demonstrates more acceptable behaviour.
- 3.26 If the behaviour of a service user continues to be unacceptable the Service Managers may recommend to the Service Director that Torus should no longer deal with the service user even if the case has not yet exhausted the full complaint process.

#### 3.27 Exceptions

- 3.28 When unreasonable and unreasonably persistent service users make complaints about new or legitimate issues, these should be treated on their merits and decisions will be taken on whether the restrictions should be lifted as necessary.
- 3.29 Special efforts should be made to ensure that the application of this guidance takes into account that Torus equalities and diversity policy is fully adhered too and that any restriction bears no impact on a service users protected characteristics within the Equality Act, to ensure restricting contact does not constitute a discriminatory act. Appeals against the application of restrictions of contact will normally be acted on at a level above that of the officer making the original decision and taken in consultation with a Service Director.
- 3.30 This would mean that appeals will be reviewed by the relevant Director or their representative.

### 4. Consultation/Resident Involvement

The Tenant Involvement and Empowerment Standard (TIE) is one of four consumer standards that form part of the regulatory framework. The standard contains specific expectations and outcomes that the Regulator of Social Housing (RSH) require Torus to meet.

More specifically, the regulatory framework requires Torus to ensure tenants have opportunities to be involved in the management of their homes; have a say in the way services are delivered from strategic to operational issues; and are able to scrutinise performance and make recommendations for improvement.

This Policy has been the subject of consultation with tenants across the heartlands of Liverpool, Warrington and St Helens and feedback has been incorporated into the policy where appropriate.

**Doc. Ref.**: SCO-POL-12-02 **Title**: Unacceptable Service User Policy Page **7** of **8** 

# 5. Responsibility

It is the responsibility of the Regional Director to ensure that:

- i) All relevant staff are aware of this policy
- ii) Service Users are made aware of the policy and it is published on the company's website

It is the responsibility of all staff to ensure that the policy is applied.

# 6. Diversity & Inclusion

Torus will not discriminate against anyone on grounds of their race, colour, ethnic or national origins, religion, sexual orientation, disability, gender or age.

We will take into account the needs of vulnerable households and households with children both within this policy and in its application. Households may be vulnerable for a variety of reasons including age, disability or illness. Taking into account the needs of vulnerable people and people with children may involve providing tenancies with a reasonable degree of stability, taking into account their needs when considering any changes to the tenancy and (upon the death of the tenant) giving consideration to their needs when deciding whether further tenancy rights should be granted.

# 7. Monitoring & Review

We carry out effective monitoring of the services we provide in an effort to develop and improve the services we offer. The data we collect will be used to ensure regulatory compliance, to measure our performance against our strategic objectives and to report to our Board as and when required.

The policy will be reviewed every two years by the Regional Director/Area Housing Manager or earlier if legislative/regulatory or service requirements change.

**Doc. Ref.**: SCO-POL-12-02 **Title**: Unacceptable Service User Policy Page **8** of **8**